UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,743	08/26/2003	Robert M. Grow	P8850C	3732
50890 CAVEN & AGI	7590 05/12/200 HEVLI	EXAMINER		
c/o INTELLEVATE, LLC			WILSON, ROBERT W	
P.O. BOX 52050 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/648,743	GROW, ROBERT M.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ROBERT W. WILSON	2619
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tird  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 / 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1,7,13 and 19-29 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) 13,25-27 and 29 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1,7,19,22 and 28 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 20,21,23 and 24 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the defended or b) for objected to by the defended or by the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2619

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 & 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(B) as being anticipated by Heddes (U.S.

Patent No.: 5,311,509).

Referring to claim 1, Heddes teaches: a method of transmitting data frame to a plurality of output ports, each of the data frame having a destination associated with one of the output ports (Figure 1 performs the method), the method comprising:

at each of a plurality of input ports, portioning a portion of each data frame to provide one or more order data cells having data representative of a sequence number corresponding with the output port associated with the destination of the data frame the data representative of the sequence number in each data cell indicating an ordinal position of the data cell among the ordered data cell of the data frame (at each of the plurality of transmitter or input ports per Fig 1 receive user packet which is segmented or partitioned into a plurality of cells. Each cell has destination address for the appropriate output port in ADDR.0-ADDR.1 per Fig 3 and a SEQ or sequence number per Fig 3. SEQ is used to represent the ordinal position of the data cell or frame per col. 3 line 49 to line 67 and per col. 6 line 37 to col. 7 line 20)

at each of the output port, receiving a forwarded data cell for each order data cell associated with each data frame having a destination associated with the output port, each forwarded data cell corresponding with an ordered data cell and data frame associated with the ordered data cell and determining an ordinal position of the forwarded data cell among the forwarded data cell associated with the data frame based upon data in the forwarded data cell representative of the sequence number (at each receiver or output port per Fig 1 the received forwarded cells which are associated with a segmented user frame have a destination address associated with the output port in ADDR.0 to ADDR.1 per Fig 3. Each of the forwarded cells have a SEQ per Fig 3 which is a sequence no which is used to determine the ordinal position of the forwarded cell per col. 3 line 49 to line 67 and per col. 6 line 37 to col. 7 line 20)

Referring to claim 7, Heddes teaches: a data switch (Figure 1) comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/648,743

Art Unit: 2619

a plurality of output port for transmitting forwarded data forwarded to destinations (a plurality of transmitters or output ports per Fig 1 transmit the reconstructed user packet to a destination per cell per col. 3 line 49 to line 67 and per col. 6 line 37 to col. 7 line 20)

Page 3

a plurality of input ports for receiving data frame each received data frame having a destination associated with one of the output ports each of the plurality of input ports including logic for partitioning a portion of each received data frame to provide one or more ordered data cells a having the data representative of a sequence number corresponding with the output port associated with the destination of the received data frame, the data representative of the sequence number in each order data cell indicating an ordinal position of the ordered data cell among the order data cell of the frame (a plurality of transmitters or input ports per Fig 1 receive a user frame per col. 3 line 56 and the background clearly indicated that user frames have destination address per col. 1 lines 40-43 which are associated with an output port. Each of the plurality of transmitter or input ports per Fig 1 receive user packet which is segmented or partitioned into a plurality of cells via inherent logic Each cell has destination address for the appropriate output port in ADDR.0-ADDR.1 per Fig 3 and a SEQ or sequence number per Fig 3. SEQ is used to represent the ordinal position of the data cell or frame per col. 3 line 49 to line 67 and per col. 6 line 37 to col. 7 line 20)

wherein each of the output port receives forwarded data cells, each forwarded data cell corresponding with an ordered data cell generated at one of the input ports and having data indicative of the sequence number of the corresponding ordered data cell and includes logic for determining an ordinal position of the forwarded data cell among the forwarded data cells of the forwarded data frame based upon the data indicative of the sequence number in the forwarded data cell (Each of the receivers or output ports per Fig 1 received forwarded cells which are associated with a segmented user frame have a destination address associated with the output port in ADDR.0 to ADDR.1 per Fig 3. Each of the forwarded cells have a SEQ per Fig 3 which is a sequence no which is used to determine the ordinal position via inherent logic of the forwarded cell per col. 3 line 49 to line 67 and per col. 6 line 37 to col. 7 line 20)

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2619

4. Claims 19 & 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heddes

(U.S. Patent No.: 5,311,509) in view of Chuissi (U.S. Patent No.: 5,689,500)

Referring to claim 19, Heddes teach the method of claim 1 and further including each of the output ports includes logic indicting the availability of buffer space for receipt of additional cell from a switching fabric (Buffer Mgr or logic keep track of availability per col. 5 lines 10 to 23)

Heddes does not expressly call for: crossbar switch

Chiussi teaches: crossbar switch per Fig 11.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the crossbar switch of Chuissi in place of the switching fabric of Heddes because a crossbar switch in non-blocking and will thus improve the performance.

Referring to claim 22, Heddes teaches: the data switch of claim 7 and further including each of the output ports includes logic indicting the availability of buffer space for receipt of additional cell from a switching fabric (Buffer Mgr or logic keep track of availability per col. 5 lines 10 to 23)

Heddes does not expressly call for: crossbar switch

Chiussi teaches: crossbar switch per Fig 11.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the crossbar switch of Chuissi in place of the switching fabric of Heddes because a crossbar switch in non-blocking and will thus improve the performance.

5. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heddes (U.S.

Patent No.: 5,311,509) in view of Larsen (U.S. Patent No.: 6,157,514)

Referring to claim 28 Heddes teaches: the data switch of claim 6 and reassembly buffers to receive the forwarded data cells to each output port (buffers and buffer manager per col. 5 lines 10 to 23)

Heddes does not expressly call for: ASIC

Larsen teaches: ASIC per Fig 9 and per col. 9 line 13 to 41.

Art Unit: 2619

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize an ASIC with a memory and buffer manager of Larsen in place of the buffer manager and memory of Heddes in order to utilize an integrated circuit to perform the memory and buffer management function and thus save space.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 13, 25-27, & 29 are allowed. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Claims 13, 25-27, & 29 are considered allowable since no other prior art reference alone or in combination teach the following limitation in the independent claim:

"look-up engine for receiving the data packets from the host computer addressed to one or more of the network devices and forming intermediate data frames based upon the data packet, the intermediate data frame having a data payload and information identifying an output port associated with the one or more network devices" as specified in claim 13.

7. Claims 20-21 & 23-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Amendment

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 7, 13, & 19-29 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT W. WILSON whose telephone number is (571)272-3075. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571/272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2619

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert W Wilson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2619

RWW 5/7/08